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INTRODUCTION

- People rely on others’ testimony to gather useful information for which they may lack direct knowledge or evidence. Recent work in psychology has demonstrated that children have a natural disposition to trust testimony (e.g., Harris, 2002).
- That said, not all informants that we encounter are equally likely to provide reliably accurate information (e.g., Perner, 1991), and sometimes their claims conflict with one another.
- As people are not always prepared with enough knowledge to evaluate conflicting claims, they may evaluate characteristics of the informants providing the claims. Recent social psychology research suggests that when people evaluate others, they focus on two dimensions of competence: benevolence and expertise. (e.g., Fiske, Cuddy, & Glick, 2002; Mascaro & Sperber, 2009).
- When adults evaluate informants who vary on benevolence and expertise, they prioritize benevolence in emotional situations and competence in non-emotional situations (e.g., White, 2005).
- The current research, then, investigates the relative importance of benevolence and competence in children’s evaluation of sources providing conflicting claims. To do this, we manipulated two indicators of benevolence and competence: niceness and expertise.

Research Question

Will children trust informants based on niceness, expertise, or both?

METHOD

Preschoolers participated in one of two studies:

Expertise Only: Children were introduced to twins with different areas of novel expertise (i.e., a bicycle expert and an eagle expert as used in Lutz & Kell, 2002).

Niceness X Expertise: This study was designed the same as Expertise Only, except that one expert was also nice and one was also mean.

Test Items:
Preschoolers heard conflicting information from the two experts about labels for 12 novel objects—4 items related to bicycle expertise (i.e., vehicle items), 4 items related to eagle expertise (i.e., bird items), and 4 items related to neither area of expertise (i.e., neutral items). For each item, children were asked to endorse one informant.

Post-Test Items:
Preschoolers attributed knowledge & behavior to the informants in 8 questions asking about expertise (i.e., who would know more), and 8 questions about niceness (asking who would have performed various nice and mean behaviors).

RESULTS

Children endorsed the nice relevant expert more than the mean relevant expert.

CONCLUSIONS

- Four and 5-year-old preschoolers not only understand that different experts know different things, but can also selectively endorse the claims of the relevant expert.
- However, meanness and niceness abd distract from relevant expertise when children are presented with both characteristics; children endorse whichever seems nicer.
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